

11 August 1955

MEMORANDUM FOR: Special Support Assistant (Personnel)

SUBJECT: Letter of Commendation [REDACTED]

STAT

REFERENCE: Memo, C/MPD to SSA/Pers, 29 Jul 55, same subject,  
with attachment, Draft of ltr to Sec of Army

STAT  
During the period of his assignment to the Far East Division,  
16 June 1954 to date, [REDACTED] has performed his assigned duties  
in a superior manner. He has participated in the headquarters and  
field planning phases of field operations; and has mounted and con-  
ducted these operations with outstanding energy and personal  
initiative. He is an unusually versatile and adaptable officer whose  
language qualifications and ready facility in coping with new and  
difficult operational problems and situations have made him inval-  
uable in a critical field assignment [REDACTED] He enjoys the  
utmost respect and cooperation from his subordinates and fellow  
officers as a highly professional technician in his field. His  
STAT promotion [REDACTED] seems very appropriate.

STAT

STAT

[REDACTED]  
Chief, FE

~~SECRET~~

29 Jul 55

MEMORANDUM FOR: Special Support Assistant (Personnel)

SUBJECT: Letter of Commendation [REDACTED]

25X1

25X1 1. Our Military Personnel Division has received a call from  
25X1 [REDACTED] Chief of the Infantry Career Branch, advising  
us that [REDACTED] would probably be passed over for pro-  
motion because of efficiency reports rendered on him while serving with  
the Central Intelligence Agency. Also, he would not be selected for  
attendance at any of the service schools.

2. The Army's numerical efficiency rating is used as a general  
measure of the officer's effectiveness. It is scored from the ratings 25X1  
in the boxes on the back of the form. While our ratings of [REDACTED]  
were not at all uncomplimentary, Army ratings generally are higher,  
therefore [REDACTED]'s numerical efficiency index is considerably  
lower than average for officers of his grade. Our descriptions of Major  
[REDACTED] duties and performance also were inadequate.

25X1 3. After graduating from The National War College and prior to  
his current assignment, [REDACTED] served a tour in a responsible  
position with the Agency. While with us he had an opportunity to ob-  
serve [REDACTED] and he considered him an outstanding officer. Con-  
sequently, he was greatly upset when he observed this apparent injustice.

25X1 4. Army efficiency reports cannot be withdrawn if they are submitted  
in accordance with regulations, consequently [REDACTED] suggested 25X1  
that General Cabell might be asked to forward a letter to the Army giving  
more details of [REDACTED] duties here and his manner of performance.

25X1 5. While [REDACTED] record in his Army file is relatively  
mediocre, his record in the Agency file indicates generally superior  
performance and supports [REDACTED] opinion of the officer.  
The attached letter for General Cabell's signature has been prepared  
from material in [REDACTED] Agency file. It is included for your  
consideration in the event the appropriate operating officials concur  
in the desirability of complying with [REDACTED] request.

25X1

25X1

[REDACTED]  
Colonel, AGC  
Chief, Military Personnel Division

Attachment:

Draft of ltr to Sec of Army

~~SECRET~~

UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/10/22 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001200060062-7

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

INSTRUCTIONS: Officer designations should be used in the "TO" column. Under each comment a line should be drawn across sheet and each comment numbered to correspond with the number in the "TO" column. Each officer should initial (check mark insufficient) before further routing. This Routing and Record Sheet should be returned to Registry.

| FROM:   |          |       |       | TELEPHONE                | NO.       |   |
|---|----------|-------|-------|--------------------------|-----------|---|
|   |          |       |       |                          | DATE      |   |
| TO  | ROOM NO. | DATE  |       | OFFICER'S INITIALS       | TELEPHONE | COMMENTS  |
|   |          | REC'D | FWD'D |                          |           |   |
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/>   | 1310     |       |       | <input type="checkbox"/> |           | To 1, 3 & 5   |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/>   | K        |       |       | <input type="checkbox"/> |           | Would you please review so much of the attached efficiency rating as is within your Branch's purview & let me have your comments.   |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/>   | che      |       |       | <input type="checkbox"/> |           | The cover memo explains the purpose behind the new rating.  |
| 4. <input type="checkbox"/>   |          |       |       | <input type="checkbox"/> |           | 25X1 <input type="checkbox"/>   |
| 5. Chief 1  |          |       |       | <input type="checkbox"/> |           | 25X1 1-7 Except for background info that <input type="checkbox"/> had about subject <input type="checkbox"/> as such probably doesn't have too much. However, I worked with subject very closely in <input type="checkbox"/> as a team and have the highest opinion of him as an officer and know him to be very conscientious, operationally. He performed every assignment with enthusiasm, in liaison was respected highly with other officers from Army units with whom he had business. Always performed completely, and in my opinion one of the best officers and individuals I have ever worked with - Mr. B. I agree and concur with the attachment. |
| 6. <input type="checkbox"/>   |          |       |       | <input type="checkbox"/> |           |   |
| 7. EE/Adm   |          |       |       | <input type="checkbox"/> |           |   |
| 8. <input type="checkbox"/>   |          |       |       | <input type="checkbox"/> |           |   |
| 9. <input type="checkbox"/>   |          |       |       | <input type="checkbox"/> |           |   |
| 10. 3.7 I concur entirely in the proposed letter. To my knowledge <input type="checkbox"/> has                          |          |       |       | <input type="checkbox"/> |           |   |
| 11. <input type="checkbox"/>  |          |       |       | <input type="checkbox"/> |           |   |
| 12. 2 ways performed in 2 Superior manner. It could be a question of industry if he did not receive the promotion. Best |          |       |       | <input type="checkbox"/> |           |   |
| 13. <input type="checkbox"/>  |          |       |       | <input type="checkbox"/> |           |   |
| 14. <input type="checkbox"/>  |          |       |       | <input type="checkbox"/> |           |   |
| 15. <input type="checkbox"/>  |          |       |       | <input type="checkbox"/> |           |   |

FORM NO. 610  
1 APR 55REPLACES FORM 91-10  
WHICH MAY BE USED.

SECRET

CONFIDENTIAL

UNCLASSIFIED